

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600  
Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996  
Facsimile (702) 949-8321  
Telephone (702) 949-8320

Robert M. Charles, Jr. NV State Bar No. 006593  
Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com  
John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024  
Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com  
Marvin Ruth NV State Bar No. 10979  
Email: mruth@lrlaw.com

Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT**  
**DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

In re:

USA Commercial Mortgage Company,

Debtor.

Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR

Chapter 11

**SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
OF THE USACM TRUST TO  
PROOFS OF CLAIM BASED IN  
PART UPON INVESTMENT IN THE  
LAKE HELEN LOAN**

Hearing Date: September 30, 2011

Hearing Time: 9:30 a.m.

Estimated Time for Hearing: 10 minutes

The USACM Liquidating Trust (the “USACM Trust”) moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”), for an order disallowing the Proofs of Claim listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan. These claims were filed by investors (“Direct Lenders”) against USA Commercial Mortgage Company (“USACM”) based upon investments in multiple USACM Loans, including a loan to “Old City, L.C.” and “Lake Helen Partners, L.C.” (collectively, the “Borrower”). This loan was commonly called the “Lake Helen Partners Loan” and that is how the USACM Trust will refer to it here. The Trust seeks to disallow the claims listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan. This Motion is supported

by the Court’s record and the Declarations of Geoffrey L. Berman and Edward M. Burr in Support of Omnibus Objections to Proofs of Claim Based Upon the Investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan (the “Berman Decl.” and “Burr Decl.”).

THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE DIRECT LENDERS’ RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE LAKE HELEN PARTNERS LOAN, SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE LAKE HELEN PARTNERS LOAN, OR SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR FOR THE LOAN.

## MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. BACKGROUND FACTS

#### a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 (“Petition Date”), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor’s post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as “Direct Lenders” in USACM’s bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the “Debtors’ Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization” as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this

1 Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass  
2 Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC (“Compass”), including the Lake  
3 Helen Partners Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

4 The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12,  
5 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the  
6 successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of  
7 Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

8 Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District  
9 of Nevada, *3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC,*  
10 *et al*, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that “Silar Advisors, LP  
11 (“Silar”) financed Compass’ acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan  
12 service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those  
13 Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement (“Repurchase  
14 Agreement”) with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of  
15 Delaware.” *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

16 Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that “Effective as of  
17 September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC (“Asset  
18 Resolution”) and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset  
19 Resolution as a ‘single purpose entity,’ conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase  
20 Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of  
21 Compass, including the Purchased Assets.” (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is  
22 now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-  
23 RCJ, along with certain affiliates.<sup>1</sup> By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert

24  
25 <sup>1</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP  
26 Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC,  
Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP  
Clear Lake SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.

1 C. Jones in the Asset Resolution Case, the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to  
2 Cross, FLS. The Lake Helen Partners Loan was not among the loans whose servicing  
3 rights were transferred to Cross, FLS.

4 The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district  
5 court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information  
6 concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or  
7 their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The following  
8 is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the  
9 Lake Helen Partners Loan.

10 **b. The Lake Helen Partners Loan**

11 USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding  
12 for an acquisition and development loan to borrowers identified as "Old City, L.C." and  
13 "Lake Helen Partners, L.C." (collectively, "Borrower"). A copy of the Offer Sheet is  
14 attached hereto as **Exhibit B** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The  
15 Offer Sheet stated that the Borrower was a wholly owned entity of Bay Communities, a  
16 developer of condominium communities in Massachusetts and Florida. *Id.* The total loan  
17 amount proposed was \$3,900,000. The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First  
18 Mortgage Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first mortgage  
19 on six pieces of property in Lake Helen, Florida with a combined acreage of  
20 approximately 73 acres, plus an additional 36 residential lots, and a first mortgage on  
21 5,500 square feet of commercial space in St. Augustine, Florida. *Id.* The Offer Sheet  
22 noted that the estimated loan to value was 51% based upon an appraisal prepared by Lui  
23 Realty Advisors, Inc. *Id.* The loan was also to be supported by a personal guaranty  
24 executed by Valerie Kaan, the principal of Bay Communities. *Id.*

25 On December 7, 2004, Borrower made and delivered to various lenders, including  
26 the Direct Lenders identified in **Exhibit A**, a "Promissory Note Secured by Mortgage" (the

1 “Note”) and a Loan Agreement (Berman Decl., ¶5.) The Note and Loan Agreement  
2 provided for a loan of \$3,900,000. The Note was secured by a “Mortgage, Security  
3 Agreement, and Assignment of Rents” (the “Mortgage”) from the Borrower in favor of the  
4 Direct Lenders that was recorded in the official records of St. Johns County, Florida on  
5 December 8, 2004. The Note was supposed to be supported by a guaranty from Valerie E.  
6 Kaan, but the Trust was unable to locate one. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.) Pursuant to Loan  
7 Extension Agreement dated December 7, 2004, the loan due date for the loan was  
8 extended for an additional six months. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.)

9 The USACM “Loan Summary” dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows  
10 that Borrower was “Non-Performing” on the Note as of July 31, 2006 . (Berman Decl., ¶  
11 8.) The summary does indicate that the unpaid principal amount was \$3,159,714, meaning  
12 that, according to the summary, the principal amount of the loan had been paid down by  
13 \$740,286. *Id.* During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass,  
14 USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower  
15 in accordance with this Court’s orders and the confirmed Plan.

16 Counsel for the Trust has reviewed the public records of Volusia and St. Johns  
17 Counties, Florida that are related to this loan. The Deed of Trust has two legal  
18 descriptions, Exhibit B and Exhibit B-1. The Exhibit B property, which consists of five  
19 parcels, was transferred via a Certificate of Title dated May 20, 2008 (Case No. 2007  
20 11495 CIDL- Citron v Lake Helen Partners) to Citron Investment Group, Inc., a Florida  
21 corporation.

22 The Exhibit B-1 property is located in Volusia County. In 2005 the property was  
23 platted as The Lyon Condominium (Units 1-7) (this is the first floor only-the second floor  
24 has the residential units which are not part of exhibit B-1). The public records indicate  
25 that all seven condominium units were released by USACM between June 2005 and April  
26 2006. All of the sales appear to have been made to individual property owners. The Trust

is assuming that the Borrower paid \$740,286 for these releases and that amount was reasonable.

### c. The Lake Helen Partners Claims

**Exhibit A**, attached, lists Proofs of Claim filed by Direct Lenders that appear to be based upon an investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan. (Burr Decl. ¶ 7.) **Exhibit A** identifies the Proof of Claim number, the claimant, the claimant's address, the total amount of the claim and the total amount of the claim that appears to be related to an investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan based upon the information provided by the claimant. (Burr Declaration ¶ 7.) The claims listed in **Exhibit A** are referred to hereafter as the "Lake Helen Partners Claims." As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of the proof of claim for each of the claims referenced in **Exhibit A** are attached as **Exhibit C**.

## II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

## III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof

of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg. (In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.)*, 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

#### IV. THE OBJECTION

The Lake Helen Partners Loan appears to have been an arms' length transaction. The Direct Lenders received the first position interest in the collateral securing the loan as promised by the Offer Sheet and it appears that the proceeds of the sale of the individual units was paid to USACM and then to the Direct Lenders. In addition, the Direct Lenders took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default or any decrease in the value of the collateral.

The Direct Lenders fail to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Lake Helen Partners Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Lake Helen Partners Loan or take any other action.

This objection will not affect the Direct Lenders' right to be repaid on the Lake Helen Partners Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that secured the Lake Helen Partners Loan or share in any funds generated by enforcing the guaranty associated with the Lake Helen Partners Loan.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court disallow the claims against USACM listed in **Exhibit A** to the extent those claims are based upon an investment in the Lake Helen Partners Loan. To the extent that the claims listed in **Exhibit A** are based upon an investment in a different loan, they will not be affected by this objection. Nor will this objection affect the rights of the Direct Lenders to recover on the Note or against

1 the property pursuant to the Deed of Trust. The USACM Trust also requests such other  
2 and further relief as is just and proper.

3 DATED this 8th day of August, 2011.

LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

5  
6 By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024)

Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593

John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (*pro hac vice*)

Marvin Ruth, NV 10979

3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600

Las Vegas, Nevada 89169

E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com

*Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust*

10 Copy of the foregoing and pertinent  
11 portion of Exhibits mailed by first  
12 class postage prepaid U.S. Mail on  
August 8, 2011 to all parties listed on  
Exhibit A attached.

13 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP

14 s/ Renee L. Creswell  
15 Renee L. Creswell